



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,404	11/26/2003	Adi Eldar	12404.0006	7957

25937 7590 09/18/2006

ZARETSKY & ASSOCIATES PC  
8753 W. RUNION DR.  
PEORIA, AZ 85382-6412

EXAMINER

KIM, PAUL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2161

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/723,404	Applicant(s) ELDAR ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul Kim	Art Unit 2161	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.


### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

  
**SAM RIMELL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the following communication: Original Application filed on 26 November 2003.

#### ***Response to Amendment***

2. Claims 1-28 are pending and present for examination. Claims 1, 12, 23, 27 and 28 are independent.
3. Claims 1, 2, 8, 12-14, 19, 23, 24, 27 and 28 have been amended.
4. No claims have been cancelled.
5. No claims have been added.

#### ***Drawings***

6. The drawings were received on 21 August 2006. These drawings are accepted.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:  
  
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
8. **Claim 26** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
9. **As per dependent claim 26**, the claim recites "one or more studies" in line 2 of the claim. It is unclear whether this is intended to be the same as or different from "a plurality of studies" as recited in lines 5 and 6 of claim 23.

Art Unit: 2161

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. **Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-18, 20-21, 23, and 26-28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rothschild et al (USBPUG 2002/0016718, hereinafter referred to as ROTHSCILD), filed on 1 June 2001, and published on 7 February 2002, in view of Cooke, Jr. et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,574,629, hereinafter referred to as COOKE), filed on 23 December 1998, and issued on 3 June 2003.

ROTHSCILD discloses the limitations of claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-18, 20-21, 23, and 26-28 for the reasons below.

ROTHSCILD differs from the claimed invention in that ROTHSCILD fails to specifically disclose the method wherein a download may be interrupted (claims 1, 12, 23, 27, and 28).

12. **As per independent claim 1**, ROTHSCILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:

A system for publishing images over a communication network, comprising:

a study storage device for storing a plurality of studies, each study comprising one or more images {See ROTHSCILD, Para. [0159], wherein this reads over "incorporates more robust database platform"};

a publication server coupled to said communications network {See ROTHSCILD, Para. [0157], wherein this reads over "the central data management system will include . . . advanced servers"}, said publication server adapted to enter an automatic mode wherein one or more studies from among said plurality of studies are automatically sent to a client computer coupled to said communications network as they become available on said study storage device {See ROTHSCILD, Para. [0094], wherein this reads over "images from an imaging center are delivered to a database and routed to the viewer without requiring the user to access the database to retrieve image data"; and Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available"};

said client computer adapted to receive said one or more studies and store them in a local storage {See ROTHSCILD, Para. [0174], wherein this reads over "[r]emote image viewing system also preferably incorporates . . . a database"}; and

Art Unit: 2161

said client computer comprising means for a user to enter an interactive mode wherein said automatic mode is interrupted and an interactive a viewing session is initiated for immediately viewing a selected study before transmission of said selected study is complete {See COOKE, Figure 21, col. 30, lines 30-48, wherein this reads over "button 242 halts a queue; button 243 restarts a halted queue"}, ~~wherein data for viewing said selected study is obtained from said publication server using progressive image streaming techniques and from~~ using any needed data already received and stored in said local storage {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available" }, and if said needed data is not available on said local storage, then pulling needed data from said publication server using progressive image streaming techniques {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0166], wherein this reads over "a web-based 'pull' functionality will also be available to facilitate secure data access"}.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by ROTHSCCHILD by combining it with the invention disclosed by COOKE. The results of this combination would lead to a method for publishing images over a communication network, wherein the user may interrupt the transmission of a selected study is complete by halting the download. Having halted the queue, the user may then immediately view the downloaded portion of the study prior to having downloaded the complete study.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification because the user may desire to immediately view images of a study without having to wait for the complete study to be downloaded.

13. **As per dependent claims 2, 13, and 26, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE,** discloses:

The system according to claim 1, wherein said publication server is adapted to send said one or more studies to said client computer {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available"} in accordance with a set of publication rules {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0104], wherein this reads over "[t]he [routing] logic will then create route requests to transmit the image file to the appropriate viewer based on routing logic that determines where the image file is to be forwarded"}.

14. **As per dependent claim 3, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

The system according to claim 2, wherein said publication rules comprises instructions for determining, for each new study, which clients are to receive it {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0104], wherein this reads over "[t]he [routing] logic will then create route requests to transmit the image

Art Unit: 2161

file to the appropriate viewer based on routing logic that determines where the image file is to be forwarded"}.

**15. As per dependent claims 4 and 15, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

The system according to claim 1, wherein said client computer comprises means for using only required data stored in said local storage if [[a]] said user initiates a viewing session of said selected study after all data for said selected study is completely received {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0107], wherein this reads over "[o]nce studies are transmitted to the viewer, they are automatically stored in the viewer's database"; and Para. [0254], wherein this reads over "[a] user at the viewing station may open the files stored in the viewer database that are awaiting the user when the user needs the file"}.

**16. As per dependent claims 5 and 16, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

The system according to claim 1, wherein said client computer comprises means for using progressive image streaming techniques to retrieve data from said publication server for said selected study if [[a]] said user initiates a viewing session of said selected study before any data corresponding thereto has been received by said client computer {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "the 'pull' model where the images are stored on a server and a user has to login and initiate a download in order to view the images"; and Para. [0166], wherein this reads over "a web-based 'pull' functionality will also be available to facilitate secure data access"}.

**17. As per dependent claims 6 and 17, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

The system according to claim 1, wherein said client computer comprises data pull means adapted to periodically poll said publication server for new studies that have not yet been retrieved {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0085], wherein this reads over "[t]he polling system is an automated system within the remote workstation or viewer that polls the central data management system for queued data"}.

**18. As per dependent claims 7 and 18, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

The system according to claim 1, wherein said client computer comprises data push means adapted to configure said publication server to automatically check for and send new studies that have not yet been transmitted to said client {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0036], wherein this reads over "[a] method that pushes electronic records containing medical images to healthcare providers outside of the medical imaging center soon after the medical images are taken so that the healthcare providers may view the images without the need to remotely access a central image storage cite and find and download a specific, desired image for viewing"}.

**19. As per dependent claims 9 and 20, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

The system according to claim 1, wherein said client computer comprises means whereby if said user initiates a viewing session of said selected study after data is completely received by said client, then said client utilizes said data to provide instant rendering of images within said selected study {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0175], wherein this reads over "have access quickly to the entire data set"}.

**20. As per dependent claims 10 and 21, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with COOKE, discloses:**

Art Unit: 2161

The system according to claim 1, further comprising means for permitting said user to freely switch back and forth between automatic mode and interactive mode without the need to switch protocols {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0166], wherein this reads over "in addition to the above mentioned 'push' delivery service, a web-based 'pull' functionality will also be available to facilitate secure data access"}.

**21. As per independent claims 12 and 27, ROTHSCCHILD teaches:**

An image publication system for use on a client computer coupled to a communications network, comprising:

first means for receiving a first command from a user to enter an automatic mode whereby new studies are sent ~~immediately~~ automatically from said publication server to said client computer over said communications network as they become available {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0094], wherein this reads over "images from an imaging center are delivered to a database and routed to the viewer without requiring the user to access the database to retrieve image data"; and Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available"};

local storage device for storing said studies upon receipt {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0174], wherein this reads over "[r]emote image viewing system also preferably incorporates . . . a database"};

second means for receiving said studies and for storing them in said local storage {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0174], wherein this reads over "[r]emote image viewing system also preferably incorporates . . . a database"};

third means for receiving a second command from said user to interrupt said automatic mode {See COOKE, Figure 21, col. 30, lines 30-48, wherein this reads over "button 242 halts a queue; button 243 restarts a halted queue"} and to immediately view a selected study before data is completely received for said selected study and in response thereto, entering an interactive mode whereby an immediate attempt is made to obtain data required for viewing said selected study from said local storage {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0254], wherein this reads over "[a] user at the viewing station may open the files stored in the viewer database that are awaiting the user when the user needs the file"}, and if unsuccessful, from said publication server using progressive image streaming techniques via one or more requests transmitted from said client computer to said publication server {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "the "pull" model where the images are stored on a server and a user has to login and initiate a download in order to view the images"; and Para. [0166], wherein this reads over "a web-based 'pull' functionality will also be available to facilitate secure data access"}; and

fourth means for receiving and decoding data received from said publication server in response to said one or more requests {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0253], wherein this reads over "[w]hen the message is received and decoded, the storage and extraction logic 423 stores the image file in the viewer database 424 which includes a relational database"}.

**22. As per independent claims 23 and 28, ROTHSCCHILD teaches:**

An image publication system for use on a publication server computer coupled to a communications network, comprising:

Art Unit: 2161

first means for receiving instructions from a client computer coupled to said communications network, said first means for configuring said publication server computer in an automatic publishing mode whereby new studies are automatically sent to automatically send new studies to said client computer as said studies become available {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0094], wherein this reads over "images from an imaging center are delivered to a database and routed to the viewer without requiring the user to access the database to retrieve image data"; and Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available"};

~~second means for receiving requests for specific layers of accuracy for regions of interest of a study selected by a user for viewing on said client computer before transmission of data for said selected study is complete; and~~

second means for interrupting said automatic publishing mode and immediately switching to an interactive mode {See COOKE, Figure 21, col. 30, lines 30-48, wherein this reads over "button 242 halts a queue; button 243 restarts a halted queue"} in response to a command received from a user before transmission of data for a study selected by said user is complete {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0104], wherein this reads over "[t]he [routing] logic will then create route requests to transmit the image file to the appropriate viewer based on routing logic that determines where the image file is to be forwarded"}, said command comprising a request for specific layers of accuracy for regions of interest of said selected study {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0143], wherein this reads over "using medical imaging system to obtain a set of images associated with a target region of a patient's body"}; and

third means for sending said specific layers of accuracy for regions of interest of said selected study to said client computer in response to said requests {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0143], wherein this reads over "[t]he local image workstation archives the data locally, and then 'pushes' the electronic record to central management system"; and Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available"}.

23. **Claim 1** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ROTHSCCHILD, in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter referred to as ADMITTED PRIOR ART), and in further view of COOKE.

24. **As per independent claim 1**, ROTHSCCHILD, in combination with the ADMITTED PRIOR ART directed towards a Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS), discloses:

A system for publishing images over a communication network, comprising:

a study storage device for storing a plurality of studies, each study comprising one or more images {See ADMITTED PRIOR ART, Para. [0004], wherein this reads over "[a] typical PACS system includes one or more imaging sources, an archive or image database and multiple viewing stations"; Para. [0007], wherein this reads over "an image . . . is stored on an image archive or storage facility"; and Para. [0009], wherein this reads over "contents of studies include one or more radiological images"};



Art Unit: 2161

a publication server coupled to said communications network {See ADMITTED PRIOR ART, Para. [0005], wherein this reads over "[u]sers are typically connected to the PACS server computer over a communications network"}, said publication server adapted to enter an automatic mode wherein one or more studies from among said plurality of studies are automatically sent to a client computer coupled to said communications network as they become available on said study storage device {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0094], wherein this reads over "images from an imaging center are delivered to a database and routed to the viewer without requiring the user to access the database to retrieve image data"; and Para. [0162], wherein this reads over "[t]he central data management system actively "pushes" the electronic records and associated images to the remote image viewing systems . . . as soon as the images are available"};

said client computer adapted to receive said one or more studies and store them in a local storage {See ADMITTED PRIOR ART, Para. [0010], wherein this reads over "sending the entire study data from the image storage location to the user's client workstation"}; and

said client computer comprising means for a user to enter an interactive mode wherein said automatic mode is interrupted and an interactive [[a]] viewing session is initiated {See COOKE, Figure 21, col. 30, lines 30-48, wherein this reads over "button 242 halts a queue; button 243 restarts a halted queue"} for immediately viewing a selected study before transmission of said selected study is complete {See ADMITTED PRIOR ART, Para. [0007], wherein this reads over "typically like to be able to view the images at (sic) soon as they are available"}, ~~wherein data for viewing said selected study is obtained from said publication server using progressive image streaming techniques and from~~ using any needed data already received and stored in said local storage {See ADMITTED PRIOR ART, Para. [0007], wherein this reads over "the server retrieves the image from the image database and transmits it to the client computer"; and Para. [0010], wherein this reads over "the contents of a study cannot be viewed until all its data is completely received by the client computer"}, and if said needed data is not available on said local storage, then pulling needed data from said publication server using progressive image streaming techniques {See ROTHSCCHILD, Para. [0166], wherein this reads over "a web-based 'pull' functionality will also be available to facilitate secure data access"}.

25. **Claims 8, 11, 14, 19, 22, 24 and 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

*AL* ~~COOKE AND~~ unpatentable over ROTHSCCHILD, in view of ~~K~~ Krishnan et al (USPGPUB 2006/0031372, hereinafter referred to as KRISHNAN), filed on 15 February 2005, and published on 9 February 2006.

ROTHSCCHILD teaches the limitations of claims 1-10, 12-21, 23-24, and 26-28 for the reasons stated above.

ROTHSCCHILD differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to disclose a system wherein the JPEG2000 standard is utilized in progressive image streaming techniques (claims 11 and 25).

Art Unit: 2161

26. **As per dependent claims 8, 14, 19, and 24**, ROTHSCILD, in combination with KRISHNAN, discloses:

The system according to claim 1, wherein said publication server is adapted to send said one or more studies to said client using progressive image streaming techniques whereby information is sent in encoded layers {See ROTHSCILD, Para. [0104], wherein this reads over "the image file . . . is encoded . . . using a commonly recognized standards-based mechanism"} of increasing accuracy and quality wherein each successive layer has higher accuracy and quality than the layer previous thereto {See KRISHNAN, Para. [0032], wherein this reads over "schemes that user JPEG2000 and JPIP to transmit data in multi-resolution and progressive fashion").

27. **As per dependent claims 11, 22, and 25**, ROTHSCILD, in combination with KRISHNAN, discloses:

The system according to claim 1, wherein said progressive image streaming techniques are performed utilizing JPEG2000 standard {See KRISHNAN, Para. [0032], wherein this reads over "schemes that user JPEG2000 and JPIP to transmit data in multi-resolution and progressive fashion").

The combination of the inventions disclosed in ROTHSCILD and KRISHNAN would disclose a system wherein the progressive image streaming techniques are performed utilized JPEG2000 standard, specifically, in a multi-resolution and progressive fashion. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions suggested by ROTHSCILD and KRISHNAN.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification in order to support the system's progressive functionality such that interaction with the image data of the study is allowed before it is received in its entirety.

### ***Response to Arguments***

28. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

29. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Robinson (U.S. Patent No. 5,291,401) discloses a system for sending the raw data from a complete patient study to a remote location.
- Pinsky et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,469,353) discloses a method for providing radiological image interpretations to remote sites.
- Wong et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,260,021) discloses a method for distributing medical images to a plurality of client workstations.
- Parvulescu et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,764) discloses a system and method for medical image archiving.
- Hanna et al (USPGPUB 2004/0141661) discloses a system for receiving images from medical image devices located throughout a health care enterprise intranet.

30. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian Chase can be reached on (571) 272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim  
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161  
TECH Center 2100



**SAM RIMELL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**